AFRICAN UNION الاتحاد الأفريقي



UNION AFRICAINE UNIÃO AFRICANA

AFRICAN COURT ON HUMAN AND PEOPLES' RIGHTS COUR AFRICAINE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME ET DES PEUPLES

IN THE MATTER OF

JOSEPH MUKWANO

V.

THE UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA

APPLICATION N0.021/2016

ORDER FOR PROVISIONAL MEASURES



The Court Composed of; Elsie N. THOMPSON, Vice President, Gérard NIYUNGEKO, Fatsah OUGUERGOUZ, Duncan TAMBALA, Sylvain ORÉ, El Hadji GUISSÉ, Ben KIOKO, Rafậa BEN ACHOUR, Solomy B. BOSSA, Angelo V. MATUSSE- Judges; and Robert ENO-Registrar.

In accordance with Article 22 of the Protocol to the African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights on the Establishment of an African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights ("hereinafter referred to as the Protocol") and Rule 8(2) of the Rules of Court ("hereinafter referred to as the Rules"), Justice Augustino S. L. RAMADHANI, President of the Court and a national of Tanzania, did not hear the Application.

In the matter of:

JOSEPH MUKWANO

V.

THE UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA

After having deliberated,

Makes the following Order,

I. Subject of the Application

 The Court received, on 5 April 2016, an Application by Joseph Mukwano (hereinafter referred to as "the Applicant"), instituting proceedings against the United Republic of Tanzania (hereinafter)

Downloaded from worldcourts.com. Use is subject to terms and conditions. See worldcourts.com/terms.htm

referred to as "the Respondent"), for alleged violations of human rights.

- The Applicant, who is currently detained at Butimba Central Prison, was sentenced to death by the High Court of Tanzania at Bukoba on 15 July 2010. That death sentence was confirmed by the Court of Appeal, which is the highest Court in Tanzania, on 7 March 2013. The Applicant then made an application to the Court of Appeal for review of its judgment in 2013.
- 3. The Applicant alleges, *inter alia*, that:
 - (a) the Court of Appeal erred by confirming his conviction by the High Court although the conviction was wrongly based on possession of stolen articles; and
 - (b) the Court of Appeal erred by confirming his conviction by the High Court although the conviction was based on extra-judicial statements/confessions provided by himself and his coaccused.

II. Procedure before the Court

 The Application was received at the Registry of the Court on 5 April 2016.

5. Pursuant to Rule 36 of the Rules of Court, on 10 May 2016, the Registry served the Application on the Respondent.

L AG M 2

III. Jurisdiction

- 6. In dealing with an Application, the Court has to ascertain that it has jurisdiction on the merits of the case under Articles 3 and 5 of the Protocol.
- 7. However, in ordering provisional measures, the Court need not satisfy itself that it has jurisdiction on the merits of the case, but simply needs to satisfy itself, *prima facie*, that it has jurisdiction.¹
- 8. Article 3(1) of the Protocol provides that "the jurisdiction of the Court shall extend to all cases and disputes submitted to it concerning the interpretation and application of the Charter, this Protocol and any other relevant Human Rights instrument ratified by the States concerned".
- 9. The Respondent ratified the Charter on 9 March 1984 and the Protocol on 10 February 2006, and is party to both instruments; it equally deposited, on 29 March 2010, a declaration accepting the competence of the Court to receive cases from individuals and Non-Governmental Organisations, within the meaning of Article 34(6) of the Protocol read together with Article 5(3) of the Protocol.

X16- 4 3

- 10. The alleged violations the Applicant is complaining about are guaranteed under Article 3(2) of the Charter and the Court therefore has jurisdiction *ratione materiae* over the Application.
- 11. In light of the foregoing, the Court has satisfied itself that, *prima facie*, it has jurisdiction to deal with the Application.

IV. On the provisional measures

- 12. In his Application, the Applicant did not request the Court to order provisional measures.
- 13. Under Article 27(2) of the Protocol and Rule 51(1) of the Rules, the Court is empowered to order provisional measures proprio motu "in cases of extreme gravity and when necessary to avoid irreparable harm to persons" and "which it deems necessary to adopt in the interest of the parties or of justice".
- 14. It is for the Court to decide in each situation if, in the light of the particular circumstances, it should make use of the power provided for by the aforementioned provisions.
- 15. The Applicant is on death row and it appears from this Application that there exists a situation of extreme gravity, as well as a risk of irreparable harm to the Applicant.
- 16. Given the particular circumstances of the case, where the risk of execution of the death penalty will jeopardise the enjoyment of the

rights guaranteed under Article 3(2) of the Charter, the Court has decided to invoke its powers under Article 27(2) of the Protocol.

- 17. The Court finds that the situation raised in the present Application is of extreme gravity and represents a risk of irreparable harm to the rights of the Applicant as protected by Article 3(2) of the Charter, if the death sentence were to be carried out.
- 18. Consequently, the Court holds that the circumstances require an Order for provisional measures, in accordance with Article 27(2) of the Protocol and Rule 51 of its Rules, to preserve the *status quo*, pending the determination of the main Application.
- 19. For the avoidance of doubt, this Order shall not in any way prejudice any findings the Court shall make regarding its jurisdiction, the admissibility and the merits of the Application.

For these reasons.

- 20. The Court, unanimously, orders the Respondent to:
 - a) refrain from executing the death penalty against the Applicant pending the determination of the Application.
 - b) report to the Court within sixty (60) days from the date of receipt of this Order, on the measures taken to implement the Order.

Done at Arusha, this 3rd day of June in the year 2016, in English, French, Portuguese and Arabic, the English version being authoritative.

Signed:

Elsie N. THOMPSON, Vice President

Gérard NIYUNGEKO, Judge

Fatsah OUGUERGOUZ, Judge

Duncan TAMBALA, Judge

Sylvain ORÉ, Judge

El Hadji GUISSÉ, Judge

Ben KIOKO, Judge

Rafâa BEN ACHOUR, Judge

Solomy B. BOSSA, Judge

Angelo V. MATUSSE, Judge; and

Robert ENO, Registrar.

Aug tedung